## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,				
Plaintiff,	8:16MJ437			
vs.  ERLIN FRANCISCO CARRAZCO-MENDOZA,  Defendant.	DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL			
	aring pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of orders the above-named defendant § 3142(e) and (I).			
The Court orders the defendant's detention because it finds:  X By a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person or the community.				
X (1) Nature and circumstances of X (a) The crime: Reentry of convicted of a felony in penalty of 10 years in penalty of 10 years in (b) The offense is a crime (c) The offense involves a (d) The offense involves a to wit:	ervices Report, and includes the following: of the offense charged:  a removed alien after having been is a serious crime and carries a maximum apprisonment.  of violence.			

			he defendant is not a long time resident of the
			ommunity. he defendant does not have any significant community
			es.
		_X_ Pa	ast conduct of the defendant:
			he defendant has a history relating to drug abuse.
			he defendant has a history relating to alcohol abuse.
			he defendant has a significant prior criminal record.
			he defendant has a prior record of failure to appear at
	(b)		ourt proceedings. e of the current arrest, the defendant was on:
	(D)		robation
			arole
			upervised Release
			elease pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of
			entence.
	(c)	Other Fac	
			he defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to
			eportation.
			he defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to eportation if convicted.
			he Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement
			BICE) has placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal.
			ther:
Χ	(4) The	nature and	seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's
			: Nature of the charge, the defendant tried to run when
			rest him, DUI convictions in 2007, 2010 and 2011,
	active warra	ant and pre	evious conviction for illegal re-entry.
	(5) <b>Pob</b>	uttable Dre	esumptions establishment of the second of th
			it the defendant should be detained, the Court also
	relied on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C.		
	§ 3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted:		
	_		no condition or combination of conditions will
			nably assure the appearance of the defendant as
		•	ed and the safety of any other person and the
			unity because the Court finds that the crime involves:
	-		A crime of violence; or
	-	(2)	An offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; or
		(3)	A controlled substance violation which has a
	-	(0)	maximum penalty of 10 years or more; or
		(4)	A felony after the defendant had been convicted of
	-		two or more prior offenses described in (1) through
			(3) above, and the defendant has a prior conviction

The defendant has no substantial financial resources.

above which is less than five years old and which was committed while the defendant was on pretrial release. That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because the Court finds that there is probable cause to believe: (1) That the defendant has committed a controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more. (2) That the defendant has committed an offense under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during and in relation to any crime of violence, including a crime of violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment if committed by the use of a deadly or dangerous weapon or device).

for one of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3)

## D. Additional Directives

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

- The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and
- 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- 3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED this 30th day of May, 2017.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Susan M. Bazis United States Magistrate Judge